# Fluctuation formula in the Nosé-Hoover thermostated Lorentz gas

M. Dolowschiák<sup>\*</sup> and Z. Kovács<sup>†</sup>

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Eötvös University, Pf. 32, H-1518 Budapest, Hungary (Received 30 September 2004; published 17 February 2005)

In this paper we examine numerically the Gallavotti-Cohen fluctuation formula for phase-space contraction rate and entropy production rate fluctuations in the Nosé-Hoover thermostated periodic Lorentz gas. Our results indicate that while the phase-space contraction rate fluctuations violate the fluctuation formula near equilibrium states, the entropy production rate fluctuations obey this formula near and far from equilibrium states as well.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.71.025202

PACS number(s): 05.45.-a, 05.70.Ln

## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years a large number of papers focused on various fluctuation formulas (FFs) with both theoretical and numerical tools, and today, these seem to be one of the most interesting results in the field of statistical physics of nonequilibrium systems [1]. This behavior was observed numerically in a system of thermostated fluid particles undergoing shear flow [2]. It was an important property of the FF that it seemed to be valid for large external forcings as well [3], therefore it was considered that it could shed some light on the thermodynamical behavior of systems far from equilibrium. Consequently, significant theoretical efforts have been made to find a common property behind the observed FF and these efforts resulted in various fluctuation theorems (FTs): the Gallavotti-Cohen approach built on the chaotic hypothesis [4,5], the Evans-Searles theorems [6], deterministic local FT [7], the FT for stochastic systems [8-10], the theorem of Maes established on the Gibbs Property [11], and the FT for open systems [12]. The Gallavotti-Cohen FT/FF serves as the basis of the numerical investigations presented in this paper.

All of the applied theoretical methods shared the property of putting extra presumptions on the physical systems (i.e., chaoticity, stochasticity) that could not be proved *a priori*. This situation naturally raised the need to study the FF numerically and compare the numerical results to the theoretical predictions. Up to now several physical models have been investigated numerically, such as the two-dimensional (2D) reversibly damped fluids [7], the chains of weakly interacting cat maps [14], the Fermi-Ulam-Pasta chain [13], and the periodic Lorentz Gas (PLG) thermostated by the Gaussian isokinetic (GIK) thermostat [15,16].

The FF is a symmetry property of the probability density function (PDF) of a dynamically measured quantity  $\pi$  connecting the probabilities of measuring  $\pi$  values with equal magnitudes but opposite signs. More precisely, let  $\pi_{\tau}(t)$  denote the quantity p averaged over a time interval of length  $\tau$ centered around time  $t: \pi_{\tau}(t) = 1/\tau \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} p(t+t') dt'$ . Considering it as a stochastic variable x, its statistical properties in a steady state can be characterized by the PDF  $\Pi_{\tau}(x)$ . The FF states that the PDF  $\Pi_{\tau}(x)$  has the following property:

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \ln \frac{\Pi_{\tau}(x)}{\Pi_{\tau}(-x)} = x.$$
 (1)

In other words, for large enough  $\tau$  values the probability of observing  $-\pi_{\tau}$  is exponentially smaller than the probability of observing  $\pi_{\tau}$ .

In [15,16] the examined physical quantity  $\pi_{\tau}(t)$  was the phase-space contraction rate (PSCR), which due to this special property of the applied GIK thermostat was equal to the thermodynamical entropy production rate (EPR) at any given time. However, this identity does not hold in general [17], and PSCR and EPR fluctuations can have different PDFs as, e.g., in the case of Nosé-Hoover thermostated systems (see Sec. II). The theoretical methods using the Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen (SRB) measure [2,4] to calculate the probabilities of trajectory segments predict that the quantity obeying the FF is the PSCR and further nontrivial theoretical efforts are needed to establish similar results for the EPR fluctuations [17].

The main purpose of examining the Nosé-Hoover (NH) thermostated PLG is to investigate which of the abovementioned physical quantities obey the FF in a system, where the corresponding PDFs are not identical. In addition to this, checking the NH thermostated PLG numerically against the FF is in itself an important task, given that the NH thermostati is one of the two generally used dynamical thermostats; the transport properties of this model have been recently investigated in [18]. In Sec. II we describe the examined model; in Sec. III we present our numerical results; and in Sec. IV we summarize our conclusions.

#### **II. THE SYSTEM**

One of the most investigated models suitable for studying transport phenomena is the field-driven thermostated periodic Lorentz Gas. This model consists of a charged particle subjected to external electric field and moving in the lattice of elastic scatterers. Due to the applied electric field, one must use a thermostating mechanism to achieve a steady state in the system; such a tool is a dynamical thermostat. Two types of dynamical thermostats have been applied to the PLG up to now: the GIK thermostat producing microcanonical distribution [19] and the Nosé-Hoover thermostat producing canonical distribution in equilibrium [18,20].

We present the equations of motion of the twodimensional NH thermostated PLG in dimensionless form:

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: dolowsch@szerenke.elte.hu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Electronic address: kz@garfield.elte.hu



FIG. 1. The probability density function  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  of the averaged phase-space contraction rate fluctuations  $\sigma_{\tau}$  in a configuration close to equilibrium [E = (0.1, 0.2)].

mass and electric charge are measured in units of the particle's mass *m* and charge *q* and the unit length is chosen to be the radius of the scatterers (*R*=1). Let  $q = (q_1, q_2)$  denote the position and  $p = (p_1, p_2)$  the momentum of the particle. Then the phase-space vector of the system is  $\Gamma = (q, p, \zeta)$ , where  $\zeta$ is the state variable of the thermal reservoir. Between two subsequent collisions the state of the system is evolved smoothly by the differential equation

<u>ä</u> – n

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{q}} = \boldsymbol{p},$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = \boldsymbol{E} - \zeta \boldsymbol{p},$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{resp}}^2} \left(\frac{p^2}{2T} - 1\right),$$
(2)

and is transformed abruptly at every elastic collision. In this equation E is the external electric field,  $\tau_{\text{resp}}$  is the response time of the reservoir, and T is the temperature satisfying  $\langle p^2 \rangle = 2T$ .

In the simulations presented in this paper we have used a *square lattice* of circular scatterers, however, we have investigated numerically other lattices as well (e.g., triangular), but have not found any relevant differences concerning the results presented in Sec. III.

Energy dissipation can be measured by the phase-space contraction rate  $\sigma$  and can be computed by taking the divergence of the right-hand side of Eq. (2) as

$$\sigma(t) = -\operatorname{div} \Gamma(t) = \zeta(t). \tag{3}$$

The entropy production rate  $\xi$  can be formally defined by the expression of irreversible thermodynamics

$$\xi(t) = \frac{J(t) \cdot E}{T} = \frac{p(t) \cdot E}{T}, \qquad (4)$$

where *T* is the kinetic temperature. We note that this quantity is identical to the dissipation function of the Evans-Searles theorem [1]. It can be shown that in this model  $\langle \sigma \rangle = \langle \xi \rangle$ , however, the identity  $\sigma(t) = \xi(t)$  does not hold at all times, as





FIG. 2. The probability density function  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  of the averaged entropy production rate fluctuations  $\xi_{\tau}$  in a configuration close to equilibrium [E = (0.1, 0.2)].

opposed to the case of the GIK thermostated PLG.

#### **III. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

The objective of the numerical simulation is to measure the PDFs  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  and  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  of the averaged quantities  $\sigma_{\tau}(t)$  $=1/\tau \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \zeta(t') dt'$  and  $\xi_{\tau}(t) = 1/\tau \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} [p(t+t') \cdot E/T] dt'$ , and check the validity of the FF for them. In order to perform this task we should evolve the state of the system  $\Gamma$  along a long trajectory, which requires the algorithm to be very efficient. This need motivated us to implement an event driven algorithm that generates and handles events, such as the collision of the particle with a scatterer and the replacement of the particle from one simulation cell into the other. The most sensitive issue when applying such an algorithm is to determine the point of time when a specific event occurs; in computer science this problem is known as collision detection. Since in the case of the Nosé-Hoover thermostat the velocity of the particle is not upper bounded, we could not have chosen the simplest such method, the so-called naive algorithm, which could have been applied in the case of the GIK thermostat. Instead of this we have applied the method of building the time estimation into the Runge-Kutta integrator, which is used in simulating particle laden flows and coupled particle-field systems (see Ref. [21]).

The PDFs  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  and  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  were constructed by periodically computing the quantity  $\sigma_{\tau}(t)$  and  $\xi_{\tau}(t)$  along a long particle trajectory and making a histogram of the computed data. In building the histogram we have used overlapping and nonoverlapping windows techniques as well, but have not found any relevant differences between them concerning the results of this paper. Throughout the presented numerical experiments we have used the T=1.0 and  $\tau_{resp}=1.0$  values, however we have tested several other configurations as well. We have simulated  $t=10^7$  long particle trajectories resulting in approximately  $2 \times 10^6$  collisions with the scatterers.

Figures 1–4 show the functional form of the PDFs  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  and  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  for small and large external fields. Examining the figures one can make the following interesting observations:



FIG. 3. The probability density function  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  of the averaged phase-space contraction rate fluctuations  $\sigma_{\tau}$  in a configuration far from equilibrium [E=(0.8, 1.6)].

(1) For low |E| values (close to equilibrium) in Figs. 1 and 2 the PDF  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  seems to be more symmetric than the PDF  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$ .

(2) For low |E| values in Figs. 1 and 2 the PDF  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  seems strictly narrower than  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$ ; indeed in the  $|E| \rightarrow 0$  limit  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  should converge to a Dirac  $\delta$  function  $[\xi_{\tau}(t)=0]$ , opposed to  $\sigma_{\tau}$  that can fluctuate even in equilibrium.

(3) For high  $\tau$  values in Figs. 1–4 the curves seem to be indistinguishable from a Gaussian; indeed fitting a Gaussian onto the measured values yields an excellent visual agreement.

With the measured values of  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  and  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  one can check the FF in this model. In order to visualize the FF we may introduce the quantities

$$D_{\tau}^{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau} \ln \frac{\Sigma_{\tau}(x)}{\Sigma_{\tau}(-x)},$$
(5)



FIG. 4. The probability density function  $\Xi_{\tau}(x)$  of the averaged entropy production rate fluctuations  $\xi_{\tau}$  in a configuration far from equilibrium [E = (0.8, 1.6)].



PHYSICAL REVIEW E 71, 025202(R) (2005)

FIG. 5. The quantity  $D_{\tau}^{\sigma}(x)$  for the phase-space contraction rate in a configuration close to equilibrium [E = (0.1, 0.2)].

0

х

-0.5

-1

$$D^{\xi}_{\tau}(x) = \frac{1}{\tau} \ln \frac{\Xi_{\tau}(x)}{\Xi_{\tau}(-x)},\tag{6}$$

 $\tau = 39.8$ 

1

0.5

which are shown on Figs. 5–8. With these quantities Eq. (1) reads as  $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} D^{\sigma}_{\tau}(x) = x$  and  $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} D^{\xi}_{\tau}(x) = x$ . Examining Figs. 5–8, one can conclude that

(1) for small external forcings and for numerically available  $\tau$  values the  $\sigma_{\tau}$  fluctuations seem to violate the FF (Fig. 5); this observation is supported by the fact that any symmetric function substituted in Eq. (5) yields zero, and due to the time-reversing symmetry of Eq. (2) the PDF  $\Sigma_{\tau}(x)$  is expected to be close to a symmetric function for small external forcings (see Ref. [22]).

(2) The  $\xi_{\tau}$  fluctuations seem to obey the FF both for small and large external forcings.

(3) As the external forcing grows, the  $\sigma_{\tau}$  fluctuations seem to obey the FF for large  $\tau$  values (Fig. 7) similarly to  $\xi_{\tau}$  fluctuations.

We note that we have examined several other configurations and have found no significant qualitative differences in the observed behavior of the PDFs.



FIG. 6. The quantity  $D_{\tau}^{\xi}(x)$  for the entropy production rate in a configuration close to equilibrium [E = (0.1, 0.2)].



FIG. 7. The quantity  $D_{\tau}^{\sigma}(x)$  for the phase-space contraction rate in a configuration far from equilibrium [E = (0.8, 1.6)].

#### **IV. CONCLUSION**

In this paper we have presented numerical evidence showing that the phase-space contraction rate fluctuations violate the fluctuation formula [Eq. (1)] in or close to equilibrium in the Nosé-Hoover thermostated periodic Lorentz gas. This observation is completely in line with the theoretical predictions of Evans *et al.*, in Ref. [22].

On the other hand, we also demonstrated that the entropy production rate fluctuations satisfy the fluctuation formula, which is by no means trivial. It should also be noted that from the physical point of view, the entropy production rate is the more relevant quantity due to its relation to thermodynamics and the availability for measurement in physical ex-

- For a review on the topic, see D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, Adv. Phys. 51, 1529 (2002).
- [2] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2401 (1993).
- [3] For an overview, see G. Gallavotti, Chaos **8**, 384 (1998), and references therein.
- [4] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, J. Stat. Phys. 80, 931 (1995).
- [5] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2694 (1995).
- [6] D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, Phys. Rev. E 50, 1645 (1994);
  52, 5839 (1995); Adv. Phys. 51, 1529 (2002).
- [7] G. Gallavotti, L. Rondoni, and E. Segre, Physica D 187, 338 (2004).
- [8] J. Kurchan, J. Phys. A **31**, 3719 (1998).
- [9] D. J. Searles and D. J. Evans, Phys. Rev. E 60, 159 (1999).
- [10] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 95, 333 (1999).
- [11] C. Maes, J. Stat. Phys. 95, 367 (1999).
- [12] L. Rondoni, T. Tél, and J. Vollmer, Phys. Rev. E 61, R4679 (2000).

- [13] S. Lepri, A. Politi, and R. Livi, Physica D 119, 140 (1998).
- [14] G. Gallavotti, F. Perroni, e-print chao-dyn/9909007.
- [15] F. Bonetto, G. Gallavotti, and P. L. Garrido, Physica D 105, 226 (1997).
- [16] M. Dolowschiák and Z. Kovács, Phys. Rev. E 66, 066217 (2002).
- [17] R. Klages, e-print nlin.CD/0309069; E. G. D. Cohen and L. Rondoni, Chaos 8, 357 (1998).
- [18] K. Rateitschak, R. Klages, and W. G. Hoover, J. Stat. Phys. 101, 61 (2000).
- [19] C. P. Dettmann and G. P. Morriss, Phys. Rev. E 53, R5545 (1996).
- [20] For a review of deterministic thermostats, see R. Klages, e-print nlin.CD/0309069, and G. P. Morriss and C. P. Dettmann, Chaos 8, 321 (1998).
- [21] H. Sigurgeirsson, A. Stuart, and W.-L. Wan, J. Comput. Phys. 172, 766 (2001).
- [22] D. J. Evans, D. J. Searles, and L. Rondoni, e-print cond-mat/ 0312353.



PHYSICAL REVIEW E 71, 025202(R) (2005)

FIG. 8. The quantity  $D_{\tau}^{\xi}(x)$  for the entropy production rate in a configuration far from equilibrium [E = (0.8, 1.6)].

periments. A direct consequence of our result is that the phase-space contraction rate and entropy production rate cannot be treated as interchangeable quantities in fluctuation formulas, therefore statements regarding the applicability of the FF for various models should always clarify which fluctuations they refer to.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Rainer Klages and Tamás Tél for fruitful discussions and careful reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the Hungarian Scientific Research Foundation (Grant No. OTKA T032981).